

EXHIBIT E

Kapralov, Sasha

From: Hemminger, Steve
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2008 12:57 PM
To: awu@morganlewis.com
Cc: Kim, Michael; Lyons, Michael; DeBruine, Sean; Hu, Yitai
Subject: RE: Epistar v. Philips Lumileds, No. C 07-5194 / Stay

Andrew,

As I explained on Monday, we don't fully understand how the arguments you made in your related case motion support a request for a stay and asked for clarification/additional information so we could assess your request. Further, I explained that given the fact that the parties agreed to litigate the contract issues in district court there is no basis for your claims of res judicata or judicial estoppel and cautioned you not to rely on those claims without first fully investigating the facts. I also asked if you had any legal authority to support your view that the ITC ruling would have preclusive effect in the district court and, if so, requested copies of those cases.

At this time we see no reason or basis to continue the CMC.

Steven D. Hemminger

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
Two Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Office Phone: 650.838.2000
Facsimile: 650.838.2001
E-mail: shemminger@akingump.com

-----Original Message-----

From: awu@morganlewis.com [mailto:awu@morganlewis.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2008 4:00 PM
To: Hemminger, Steve
Cc: Kim, Michael; Lyons, Michael; DeBruine, Sean; Hu, Yitai
Subject: RE: Epistar v. Philips Lumileds, No. C 07-5194 / Stay

Steve,

As we discussed on Monday, the reasons that Philips Lumileds seeks a stay of the unfair competition case are sufficiently set forth in Lumileds' related case motion. Per our discussion, Epistar does not at this time agree to a stay. Let me know immediately if Epistar changes its position.

Relatedly, Judge Wilken has set a case management conference for February 12. Philips Lumileds believes that it would be efficient for the Court to consider and rule on the motion to stay prior to the case management conference (and related activities). Accordingly, we propose to move the case management conference to March 11. Please let me know if Epistar agrees with that proposal.

Thanks.

Andrew J. Wu
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real

Palo Alto, California 94306
650-843-7511
650-843-4001 (facsimile)
awu@morganlewis.com

"Hemminger,
Steve"
<shemminger@aking
ump.com>

01/07/2008 07:15
AM

To
awu@morganlewis.com, "Hu, Yitai"
<yhu@AKINGUMP.com>

cc
"Kim, Michael" <kimm@AkinGump.com>,
"Lyons, Michael"
<mlyons@morganlewis.com>,
"DeBruine, Sean"
<sdebruine@akingump.com>

Subject
RE: Epistar v. Philips Lumileds,
No. C 07-5194 / Stay

Dear Andrew,

Yitai has asked me to respond to you on this matter. Please call me at your convenience to discuss.

Sincerely,

Steven D. Hemminger

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
Two Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 400
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Office Phone: 650.838.2000
Facsimile: 650.838.2001
E-mail: shemminger@akingump.com

-----Original Message-----

From: awu@morganlewis.com [mailto:awu@morganlewis.com]
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2008 6:28 PM
To: Hu, Yitai
Cc: Kim, Michael; Lyons, Michael; DeBruine, Sean; Hemminger, Steve
Subject: Epistar v. Philips Lumileds, No. C 07-5194 / Stay

Yitai,

As I mentioned in my voicemail to you last Friday (December 28), now that the Unfair Competition case has been related to the earlier cases, we think they should all be stayed until completion of the appeal of the ITC case.

Please let me know by 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 8, 2008 if Epistar will oppose a motion to stay. Thank you.

Andrew J. Wu
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
2 Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, California 94306
650-843-7511
650-843-4001 (facsimile)
awu@morganlewis.com

DISCLAIMER

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message.

IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party.

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

DISCLAIMER

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message.